



EDUCATIONAL NOTE

Educational notes do not constitute standards of practice. They are intended to assist actuaries in applying standards of practice in specific matters. Responsibility for the manner of application of standards in specific circumstances remains that of the practitioner.

EVALUATION OF THE RUNOFF OF CLAIM LIABILITIES WHEN THE LIABILITIES ARE DISCOUNTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED ACTUARIAL PRACTICE

COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND CASUALTY FINANCIAL REPORTING

MARCH 2003

© 2003 Canadian Institute of Actuaries

Document 203023

Ce document est disponible en français



Canadian Institute of Actuaries • Institut Canadien des Actuaires

MEMORANDUM

TO: All Fellows, Associates and Correspondents of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries
DATE: March 25, 2003
FROM: Marthe Lacroix, Chairperson
Committee on Property and Casualty Financial Reporting
SUBJECT: **Educational Note: Evaluation of the Runoff of Claim Liabilities when the Liabilities are Discounted in Accordance with Accepted Actuarial Practice**

The Committee on P&C Financial Reporting has developed the attached educational note. The primary purpose of this document is to provide guidance to property and casualty (P&C) actuaries who are required to prepare an evaluation of the runoff of the claim liabilities when claim liabilities are discounted.

In accordance with the Institute's policy for due process, this educational note has been approved by the Committee on P&C Financial Reporting, and has received final approval for distribution by the Practice Standards Council.

Educational notes are covered under Section 1220 of the Consolidated Standards of Practice (CSOP).

Section 1220 prescribes that, "The actuary should be familiar with relevant educational notes and other designated educational material." It further explains that a "practice which the notes describe for a situation is not necessarily the only accepted practice for that situation and is not necessarily accepted actuarial practice for a different situation." As well, "educational notes are intended to illustrate the application (but not necessarily the only application) of the standards, so there should be no conflict between them."

Additional guidance on discounting is available in the Educational Note 9917 – Discounting published by the CIA in April 1999.

Questions should be addressed to me at my *Yearbook* address.

ML

**EVALUATION OF THE RUNOFF OF CLAIM LIABILITIES
WHEN THE LIABILITIES ARE
DISCOUNTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED ACTUARIAL PRACTICE**

The primary purpose of this document is to provide guidance to property and casualty (P&C) actuaries who are required to prepare a comprehensive report on the valuation of the policy liabilities and an evaluation of the runoff of the claim liabilities.

When claim liabilities are derived on an undiscounted basis, the most common means used by actuaries to evaluate the runoff is through a comparison of the estimated ultimate incurred amounts at successive valuation dates. Another common approach is to compute the calendar year runoff as the amounts paid during the calendar year, plus the change in outstanding amounts from the prior valuation, which is consistent with the calculation of the calendar year incurred claims in the insurer's income statement. These approaches must be modified or replaced in order to properly evaluate the runoff when the claim liabilities are derived on a discounted basis, in accordance with accepted actuarial practice.

The guidance provided in this note may be appropriate for the valuation of the runoff of other liabilities, including self-insured retention.

This document is divided into three sections:

1. Discussion of the basic approaches to the evaluation of runoff of claim liabilities
2. An accident year runoff model
3. Allocation of investment income between liabilities and surplus

1 BASIC APPROACHES TO THE EVALUATION OF RUNOFF OF CLAIM LIABILITIES

1.1 Undiscounted Basis

The runoff, or calendar year emergence, is generally computed in one of two ways, both of which should produce the same result:

[a] Emergence in t with respect to accident years $t-1$ and prior

$$= (\text{Ultimate amounts estimated at } t-1) - (\text{ultimate amounts estimated at } t)$$

This calculation can also be done on a policy year or underwriting year basis, except that 1st term must be adjusted to exclude the portion of policy year $t-1$ that is earned in calendar year t .

[b] Emergence in t with respect to accident years $t-1$ and prior

$$= (\text{Claim liabilities at } t-1) - (\text{Paid during } t) - (\text{Claim liabilities at } t)$$

This calculation can also be done on a policy year or underwriting year basis, except that 1st and 2nd terms must be adjusted to exclude the portion of policy year $t-1$ that is earned in calendar year t .

The choice of [a] or [b] depends on the available data, regulatory or management requirements, if any, and the actuary's preference.

1.2 Discounted Basis

Equation [a] above, or the comparison of the estimated ultimate incurred amounts, may provide useful information regarding the discounted claim liabilities, but this approach is not readily adjusted to encompass the effect of the time value of money, and the provision for adverse deviations.

Equation [b] in item 1.1 above, would be modified as follows:

- i) Discount the amounts in the 1st and 2nd terms to time $t-1$ (i.e., calculate the present value of the cash flows), or
- ii) Subtract a term for the portion of the investment income earned during calendar year t on assets supporting the liabilities.

These adjustments should produce equivalent results but the second approach is simpler, both in terms of the calculations and the presentation. The models presented in Sections 2 and 3 of this document are based on the second approach.

For the purposes of the appointed actuary's report, it would be useful to identify the components of the runoff (i.e., the contribution of the undiscounted claim liabilities, changes in the discount rate, and changes in the provision for adverse deviations).

2 ACCIDENT YEAR RUNOFF MODEL

The model is best illustrated through a simple calendar year (CY) example, as shown below:

EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) DURING CY 6 – BY ACCIDENT YEAR					
Accident Year	Paid Losses During CY 6	Discounted Claim liabilities 31/Dec/6	Discounted Claim liabilities 31/Dec/5	Investment Income in CY 6 On Unpaid Claims	Excess (Deficiency) During 6
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	2,000	3,000	6,000	270	1,270
2	3,000	7,000	12,000	570	2,570
3	4,000	10,000	17,000	810	3,810
4	6,000	19,000	26,000	1,350	2,350
5	16,000	29,000	44,000	2,190	1,190
Sub-Total	31,000	68,000	105,000	5,190	11,190
6	40,000	44,000	-	1,320	
Total	71,000	112,000	105,000	6,510	

(Amounts in \$000's)

- (1) From Exhibit – Table 1
- (2) From Exhibit – Table 2
- (3) From Exhibit – Table 2

(4) From Exhibit – Table 2 = average lines CY 5 and CY 6 for each accident year x annual yield.

In this example, for accident year 5, the average outstanding claims was $(29,000 + 44,000)/2$ or 36,500. In the example, the annual yield was 6%. So, $6\% \times 36,500 = 2,190$. (Results presented in Table 3)

(5) From Exhibit – Table 4: line CY 6 [(3) + (4)] – [(1) + (2)]

Section 3 of this document addresses the methodology and assumptions underlying the allocation of the investment income in column (4) above.

The model may be expanded to monitor the runoff over a period of time as shown in Tables 5 and 6.

3 ALLOCATION OF INVESTMENT INCOME BETWEEN LIABILITIES AND SURPLUS

The investment income attributable to policy liabilities should be determined. If the assets are allocated (e.g., surplus vs. operations), then the runoff would be calculated consistent with that allocation. The basis for allocation should be consistent with the investment policy of the company and the basis used by the actuary in discounting the policy liabilities. The basis for allocation should be properly documented. In a situation where there is no formal allocation, the default yield rate would be based on the same calculation as used in the P&C-1 or P&C-2 exhibit 10.60. The actuary should refer to the Educational Note on Discounting (Document 9917 - April 1999) for guidance on different approaches to select a discounting rate.

The investment income attributable to policy liabilities can be obtained by multiplying the selected yield rate by the average of the starting and ending values of

- + net unpaid claims
- + net unearned premium
- gross DPAC
- + premium deficiency provisions
- + unearned commissions
- agents, brokers and policyholders receivables
- installment premiums

If the default yield rate is used for the allocation, then the investment income attributable to policy liabilities should be compared to the overall investment income. If necessary, the investment income on policy liabilities (using the total investment income as a cap) should be reduced and the yield for runoff purposes should be recalculated. This will happen if the invested assets are less than the policy liabilities less the respective receivables. If the overall investment income is negative, then the resulting negative yield should be used for runoff purposes – i.e., the runoff is penalized, again subject to cap – i.e., the negative investment income on policy liabilities should be capped per the overall negative investment income.

A simple approach to calculate the investment income attributable to assets backing the net unpaid claims is to multiply the investment yield by the mean net claim liabilities.

If necessary, the calculation of the investment income attributable to assets backing the net premium liabilities can be performed in a similar manner. The investable assets are considered to be equal to the net unearned premium plus the premium deficiency provisions and unearned commissions, reduced by gross DPAC, agents, brokers and policyholders' receivables and installment premiums.

EXHIBITS

Table 1 – Paid Losses During the Calendar Year (CY)							
Accident Year							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
As of CY							
1	42 000						42 000
2	18 000	43 000					61 000
3	10 000	16 000	44 000				70 000
4	4 000	8 000	12 000	40 000			64 000
5	3 000	5 000	9 000	15 000	39 000		71 000
6	2 000	3 000	4 000	6 000	16 000	40 000	71 000

Table 2 – Discounted Claim liabilities								
Accident Year								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	Annual Yield
As of CY								
1	48 000						48 000	7.50%
2	29 000	47 000					76 000	7.00%
3	18 000	29 000	43 000				90 000	6.50%
4	11 000	19 000	29 000	42 000			101 000	6.50%
5	6 000	12 000	17 000	26 000	44 000		105 000	6.00%
6	3 000	7 000	10 000	19 000	29 000	44 000	112 000	6.00%

Table 3 – Investment Income on Unpaid Claims							
Accident Year							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
As of CY							
1	1 800						1 800
2	2 700	1 650					4 340
3	1 530	2 470	1 400				5 400
4	940	1 560	2 340	1 370			6 210
5	510	930	1 380	2 040	1 320		6 180
6	270	570	810	1 350	2 190	1 320	6 510

Table 4 – Excess (Deficiency)							
Accident Year							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
As of CY							
1							
2	3 700						3 700
3	2 530	4 470					7 000
4	3 940	3 560	4 340				11 840
5	2 510	2 930	4 380	3 040			12 860
6	1 270	2 570	3 810	2 350	1 190		11 190

Table 5 – Cumulative Excess (Deficiency)							
Accident Year							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
As of CY							
1							
2	3 700						
3	6 230	4 470					
4	10 170	8 030	4 340				
5	12 680	10 960	8 720	3 040			
6	13 950	13 530	12 530	5 390	1 190		

Table 6 – Cumulative % Excess (Deficiency)							
Accident Year							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
As of CY							
1							
2	7.70%						
3	12.96%	9.21%					
4	21.18%	19.08%	13.16%				
5	26.41%	26.24%	24.07%	12.73%			
6	29.05%	31.29%	32.57%	22.63%	10.66%		