

Institut canadien des actuaires

# **Educational Note**



This document was replaced by document 223126 This document was archived August 8, 2023



# **Educational Note**



Ce document est disponible en français

© 2017 Canadian Institute of Actuaries

Members should be familiar with educational notes. Educational notes describe but do not recommend practice in illustrative situations. They do not constitute standards of practice and are, therefore, not binding. They are, however, intended to illustrate the application (but not necessarily the only application) of the Standards of Practice, so there should be no conflict between them. They are intended to assist actuaries in applying standards of practice in respect of specific matters. Responsibility for the manner of application of standards of practice in specific circumstances remains that of the members.



# **MEMORANDUM**

 To: Members in the property and casualty insurance area
 From: Pierre Dionne, Chair Practice Council Raul Martin, Chair Committee on Property and Casualty Insurance Financial Reporting
 Date: March 7, 2017

## Subject: Educational Note: Duration Considerations for P&C Insurers

This educational note has been prepared by the Computee on Property and Casualty Insurance Financial Reporting in accordance with the Instituted Point on Dae Process for the Approval of Guidance Material other than Standards of Practice and Reporch Documents, and received final approval for distribution from the Practice Councilon February 28, 2017.

This guidance was published previously in the 2,13-2015 Guidance to the Appointed Actuary for Property & Casualty Insurers, and going to ware will be available as a stand-alone educational note.

As outlined in subsection 1220 the Standards of Practice, "The actuary should be familiar with relevant Educational Note and oth r designated educational material". That subsection explains further that a e Educational Notes describe for a situation is not e th necessarily the only ad tice for that situation and is not necessarily accepted rent situation". As well, "Educational Notes are intended to actuarial practice for a difference illustrate the application (but not necessarily the only application) of the standards, so there should be no conflic etween them".

Questions or comments regarding this educational note may be directed to Raul Martin at <a href="mailto:iscp@jscp.com">iscp@jscp.com</a>.

PD, RM

## Introduction and Scope

The Committee on Property and Casualty Insurance Financial Reporting (PCFRC) of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries (CIA) prepared this educational note to provide guidance to actuaries doing work for property and casualty (P&C) insurers related to duration of the insurer's interest rate sensitive claim liabilities, premium liabilities and assets.

In this document, the term "P&C returns" refers to the uniform returns approved by the Canadian Council of Insurance Regulators. The term "MCT Guideline" refers to the Minimum Capital Test (MCT) Guideline issued by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions (OSFI) or the version approved for use by provincial regulatory authorities.

Duration has become an increasingly relevant topic for a variety of reasons, including but not limited to the following:

- The MCT Guideline requires the calculation of estimated duration of insurer's interestrate-sensitive assets, claim liabilities, and premium liabilities for purposes of the interest rate risk margin;
- Duration may be required for the estimation and seaction of the margin for investment return rates in applying concepts from the educational note <u>Margins for Adverse</u> <u>Deviations for Property and Casualty Insurance</u>;
- Many insurers are employing the strategy to duration match liabilities to assets to help immunize the impact of relatively small stifts to the market yield curve on surplus; and
- Duration is a consideration in modeling market risk.

Furthermore, there are different in erpretations on how duration is to be determined for certain asset classes (e.g., preferred chares)

## **Duration Defined**

Duration is a concept or tool that is used to measure both the average maturity of a series of fixed future cash flows as call as to measure the sensitivity that interest rate changes have on the present value of a series of future cash flows. The calculation of the duration will depend on the duration measure chosen. The three most common types of duration measures are the following:

• *Macaulay duration* is computed as the weighted average of the time to each cash flow payment, using the present value of the future cash flow payment as weights. The Macaulay duration is calculated as follows:

- Macaulay Duration =  $\frac{\sum_{t=0}^{n} t \times \text{PVCF}_{t}}{k \times \sum_{t=0}^{n} \text{PVCF}_{t}}$
- o Where:
- t = time to future cash flow payment
- yield = market value yield to maturity of the cash flows consistent with *k* time period definition
- k = number of periods, or payments, per year (e.g., k=2 for semiannual periods)
- n = number of periods until maturity (i.e., number of years to maturity times k)
- $PVCF_t$  = present value of the cash flow in period t discounted at the yield rate or market value of securities
- **Modified duration** measures the sensitivity of the present value of a series of fixed future cash flows to changes in interest rates. It is ploulated as the following:
  - Modified Duration =  $\frac{\text{Macaulay Duration}}{1+\text{Yield}}$
- Effective duration also measures the sensitivity of the present value of a series of fixed future cash flows and will give a similar ratimate as the modified duration approach. In addition, the effective duration measures the fair value sensitivity of assets where interest rate changes would nange future cash flows, such as in the case of interest rate derivatives, callable bonds, option embedded assets, etc. For example, bonds with embedded options may us called early, and therefore the yield to maturity would change on the bond and so the modified duration formula would no longer be an appropriate measurements.

• Effective Dynation = 
$$\frac{\text{Fair value if yields decline} - \text{fair value if yields rise}}{2 \times \text{initial price} \times \text{change in yield in decimal}}$$

• or Effective Duration = 
$$\frac{V_- - V_+}{2 \times V_0 \times \Delta v}$$

o Where:

 $\Delta y = change in yield in decimal$ 

V<sub>0</sub> = initial fair value

V = fair value if yields decline by  $\Delta y$ 

 $V_{+}$  = fair value if yields increase by  $\Delta y$ 

It is important to note that for the purpose of the MCT, the Macaulay duration is an intermediate step in the calculation of the interest rate sensitivity of an asset or liability and is *not* a measure of duration accepted by regulators. It is also necessary that the duration be

measured on an annual basis for the MCT interest rate margin calculation, as the application of the interest rate shock is measuring the impact of annual interest rate sensitivities. In other words, the definition of the duration needs to be consistent with the definition of the yield rate in terms of period of time, otherwise the results will be incorrect.

Also worth mentioning is that both the modified and effective durations provide only approximations of the sensitivity that changes in interest rates have on the present value of future cash flows. Both of these duration measures provide exact percentage changes for very small changes in interest rate (e.g., one basis point), but are generally less accurate for large changes, as the relationship between the change in interest rate and the change in present value of future cash flows is not linear. More accurate approximations of the impact of changes in interest rates on the present value of future cash flows can be achieved through considering the curvature (or convexity) of the price-yield relationship.

In an attempt to manage the effect that changes in interest rates by n their surplus position, insurers often endeavour to match the duration of their liabilities and ass ts. This approach is considered good practice. However, it can be demonstrated re m be future cash flow lat th shortfalls even in situations where the duration of liabilities and re perfectly matched. sset Accordingly, actuaries would consider future net cash flows well s durations. The value of doing so is demonstrated in the educational note Discou Cash Flow Considerations for ting Property and Casualty Insurers (May 2016).

In the calculation of the interest rate risk margin, an interest rate shock factor is applied to the fair value of interest rate sensitive assets and line lite state their duration. Actuaries are often involved in the calculation of the duration of mabilities and depending on the size of the insurer, may also be asked for support on the duration of assets.

Instructions on the calculation of the interest rate risk margin are provided in the MCT Guideline. The key points for the alculation of the duration are the following:

- Insurers may use either the modified duration or the effective duration to calculate the duration of as the and highlities. However, the same duration methodology would apply to all assets and liables under consideration. Moreover, the same methodology is to be used consistently from year to year.
- Effective duration is the required measure when interest rate changes may change the expected cash flows.
- The portfolio duration can be obtained by calculating the weighted average of the duration for the assets or liabilities in the portfolio with the weights being proportional to the fair value of the cash flows or securities.

The following sections describe the theory and include some examples behind the calculations of duration of liabilities (both premium and claim) as well as assets.

## **Duration of Interest-Rate-Sensitive Liabilities**

When evaluating the duration of the claim and premium liabilities, actuaries would consider the following:

- Assumptions underlying the duration calculation would be consistent with those underlying the discounting calculation (e.g., timing of payout) from the actuary's valuation work.
- The duration may be calculated by line of business using the payout patterns used for discounting. The line of business durations would then be weighted, using actuarial present value (APV) as weights, to derive the total premium or claim liabilities duration. This point is illustrated in appendix A, sheets 2 through 4.
- Alternatively, the duration may be evaluated for all lines of business on a combined basis, with the use of the effective duration approach. This point is illustrated for the duration of premium liabilities in appendix C.
- When the change in interest rate is small, the modified duration and effective duration are approximately the same, and the effective duration can be used to assess the reasonableness of the calculation of the modified duration, or even as a proxy for modified duration if appropriate.
- For premium liabilities, the following additional considerations apply:
  - The calculation would be adjusted for the future accident date; and
  - The future accident date would be adjusted to reflect policy terms of other than 12 months.
- For the purposes of input into the MCT calculation, the duration would be net of reinsurance and net of salvage anotypirogation.

Interest-rate-sensitive liabilities include those for which the values are determined on a present value (PV) or actuarial present value basis. If accordance with the MCT Guideline, the interest-rate-sensitive liabilities to be included in the calculation of the interest rate risk margin are those for which their fair value will change with movements in interest rates. The following liabilities are considered sensitive to interest rates and are to be included:

- Net unpaid claims a new justment expenses; and
- Net premium liab lities.

Other interest-rate-sensitive liabilities may include certain types of structured settlements. As per the OSFI guideline D5 <u>Accounting for Structured Settlements</u>, insurers may be required to recognize Type II structured settlement arrangements as an unpaid claim liability on the balance sheet (versus Type I structured settlements which have a disclosure-only requirement). The challenge to actuaries is that the value of the purchased annuities for Type II settlements will flow through the actuarial data as a single lump sum payment which could cause an understatement of the overall duration if not adjusted for. The additional challenge to actuaries is that embedded in the settlement structure value is the assumption of the prevailing interest rate (which is an input into the modified duration calculation). So, in the absence of the real future cash flows and the interest rate, the actuary may need to make a simplified yet reasonable assumption on the underlying payment pattern in order to reasonably approximate

the underlying future cash flows, and may want to consider using the valuation discount rate to complete the modified duration calculation.

P&C insurers may require supervisory approval in order to be able to consider other liabilities in the calculation of the interest rate risk margin.

Refer to appendix A (sheets 2–3) for an example of the duration calculations for unpaid claim liabilities, and to appendix A (sheet 4) for an example of the duration calculations for premium liabilities. Appendix A (sheet 5) shows how the durations calculated in sheets 3 and 4 may be carried into the calculation of the interest rate risk margin in P&C returns.

Refer to appendix B for an illustration of the cash flow matching model to derive the duration of the claim and premium liabilities.

Appendix C is similar to appendix A (sheet 4) except that it illustrates the duration calculation for premium liabilities on an all-lines-combined basis using the effective duration approach. The interest rate risk margin would be amended to reflect the appropriate fields from appendix C.

## **Duration of Interest-Rate-Sensitive Assets**

Actuaries may be asked to calculate the duration of the interest-rate sensitive assets in the insurer's portfolio, including for purposes of the calculation of the interest rate risk margin that is part of the MCT calculation. For most insurers, the main classes of interest-rate-sensitive assets are bonds and preferred shares. Refer to appendix ((sheet 1) for an illustrative duration calculation for fixed income securities.

Retractable preferred shares, and preferred shares with rate reset options, may lend themselves to the same duration calculation opproach as bonds, particularly if a redemption date or rate reset date can be considered an equivalent to the maturity date of a bond.

As an alternative to the duration calculations referred to above, or to supplement the calculations for other classes of interest-rate-sensitive assets, actuaries may use estimates derived by the insurer's investment specialists. Before using the work of the investment specialist, the actuary would renew the information for reasonableness, and identify which duration formula was user (i.e., Macaulay duration, modified duration, or effective duration) in order to ensure consistency between asset and liability durations.

## Appendices

The examples in the appendices are provided to assist actuaries in calculating durations for the purpose of the interest rate risk margin in the P&C returns. They are intended to be illustrative, rather than prescriptive. Also included is an example of the use of those estimates in the calculation of the interest rate risk margin in accordance with the MCT Guideline (see appendix A, sheet 5).

Recognizing the link between concepts addressed in this educational note and those addressed in other recently issued educational notes, the appendices include exhibits taken from those other educational notes, as indicated below:

Exhibit	Description	Reference
Appendix A		
Sheet 1	Duration of bonds	2015 Year-end memo <sup>1</sup>
Sheets 2-3	Duration of unpaid claim liabilities	2015 Year-end memo <sup>1</sup>
Sheet 4	Duration of premium liabilities	N/A
Sheet 5	Interest Rate Risk Margin	2015 P&C return <sup>2</sup>
Appendix B	Net cash flow matching model	Discounting ed. note <sup>3</sup>
Appendix C	Duration of premium liabilities	Premium liabilities ed. note <sup>4</sup>

- (1) Educational Note: <u>2015 Guidance to the Appointed Actuary for Property and Casualty</u> <u>Insurers</u> (October 2015). Appendix B (Sheets 2-4)
- (2) 2015 P&C Return Page 30.66 Capital (Margin) Required for Interest Rate Risk
- (3) Revised Educational Note: Discounting and Cash Flow Considerations for Property and Casualty Insurers (May 2016). Appendix B (Sheet 4)
- (4) <u>Second Revision Educational Note: Premium Liak aties</u> July 2016)

Appendix D, Sheet 1 is a deterministic approach to demonstrate that the duration of the net premium liabilities can be derived from the duration of a luture accident year. Appendix D, Sheet 2 summarizes the results of testing performed by the PCFRC to assess the effect of various approximations of the Macaulay duration.



#### **Duration of Bonds**

Year-end Information			
Description	Bond #1	Bond #2	Bond #3
Valuation Date	2015/12/31	2015/12/31	2015/12/31
Maturity Date	2016/12/31	2017/06/30	2018/06/30
Coupon Rate	2.50%	6.60%	4.65%
Coupon # (k)	2	2	2
Par value	1,250.0	1,875.0	1,125.0
Market value	1,265.0	2,010.0	1,140.0
Semi-annual Coupon \$	15.6	61.9	26.2
Yield (y) on a semi-annual basis	0.644%	0.859%	2.042%
Excel Yield (for comparison)	0.644%	0.859%	2.042%
Step 1: Future payment for assets			

	Cash flows							
Year	Bond #1	Bond #2	Bond #3					
2016.0	(1,265.0)	(2,010.0)	(1,140.0)					
2016.5	15.6	61.9	26.2					
2017.0	1,265.6	61.9	26.2					
2017.5	-	1,936.9	26.2					
2018.0	-	-	26.2					
2018.5	-	-	1,151.2					

#### Step 2: Calculation of duration for assets



Step 3: Market Value Weighted Duration of Assets

	Market	Modified	Effective
	Value	Duration	Duration
Bond #1	1,265.0	0.98750	0.98750
Bond #2	2,010.0	1.44197	1.44197
Bond #3	1,140.0	2.34198	2.34198
Total	4,415.0	1.54415	1.54415

 $(4) = 1 / (1 + y)^{(2)}$ 

(5) = (3) x (4)

(6) Sumproduct of columns (2) and (5) divided by (5) Total; for annual basis divide by 2

(7) = (6) / (1 + y); for annual basis divide by 2

(8) DURATION (Valuation Date, Maturity Date, Coupon Rate, Annual Yield Rate, Coupon Frequency, basis) (9) =  $1 / (1 + y - \Delta y) \land (2)$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (10) &= 1 / (1 + y + \Delta y) ^{(2)} \\ (11) &= (3) \times (8) \\ (12) &= (3) \times (9) \end{array}$ 

(13) = [(11) total - (12) total] / [ 2 x  $\Delta$ y ] / [(5) total] (14) = (13) / 2

### **Duration of Unpaid Claim Liabilities**

Year-end Information

Unpaid as at Dece	mber 31, 2015		Ac	cident Year Pay	<u>ment Pattern</u>			
Accident Year	Property	Liability		Age	Property	Liability		
2011	-	32		12	80%	35%		
2012	-	86		24	95%	68%		
2013	-	127		36	100%	80%		
2014	16	186		48	100%	85%		
2015	137	258		60	100%	90%		
				72	100%	95%		
				84	100%	99%		
				96	100%	100%		
Yield (y)=	1.75%							
Annual ∆y =	0.10%							
Unearned Premiu	m Reserve for Pro	operty:	550	Exp	pected Loss Rati	o for Property =		65.0%
Unearned Premiu	m Reserve for Lia	bility:	380	Exp	pected Loss Rati	o for Liability =		80.0%
Maintenance Expe	ense Ratio (% UPP	R) =	3.50%					
Maintenance Expe	enses should be p	baid during the	time the UPR is	s being earned				
Step 1: Future pay	ment for claims l	iabilities						
Property								
					Paid in			
Accident Year	Unpaid	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
2011	-							
2012	-							
2013	-					$\mathbf{V}$		
2014	16.0	16.0	-	- 4		▼ -	-	
2015	137.0	102.8	34.3	-		-	-	-
Total	153.0	118.8	34.3			-	-	-
payout for AY 201	5 @ 2016 = 137 /	(1-80%) * (95%	- 80%)	. እ				
payout for AY 201	5 @ 2017 = 137 /	(1-80%) * (100	% - 95%)		· ·			
payout for AY 201	4 @ 2016 = 16 / (	1-95%) * (100%	- 95%)		•			
Liability								
Liability				·	Paid in			
Accident Year	Unpaid	2016	2.	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
2011	32.0	16	12.8	3.2				
2012	86.0			22.9	5.7			
2013	127.0	31.8	31.8	31.8	25.4	6.4		
2014	186.0	<u>6</u> 9.8	29.1	29.1	29.1	23.3	5.8	
2015	258.0	15	47.6	19.8	19.8	19.8	15.9	4.0
Total	689.0	1.2	149.9	106.8	80.0	49.4	21.7	4.0
payout for AY 201	5 @ 2016 = 258 /	(1-3.) * (68%	- 35%)					

payout for AY 2015 @ 2016 = 258 / (1-3) \* (68% - 35%) payout for AY 2015 @ 2017 = 258 / (1-35%) \* (80% - 68%) payout for AY 2014 @ 2016 = 186 / (1-68%) \* (80% - 68%) etc.

#### **Duration of Unpaid Claim Liabilities**

Step 2: Calculation of duration for claims liabilities

	Year (1)	Lag (yrs) (2)	Payment (3)	Present Value Factor (4)	Discounted Payment (5)		PV Factor with -Δy (8)	PV Factor with +∆y (9)	Discounted Cash Flows with -Δy (10)	Discounted Cash Flows with +∆y (11)
Prone	rtv									
Поре	2016	0.5000	118.8	0.9914	117.7	]	0.9919	0.9909	117.8	117.7
	2017	1.5000	34.3	0.9743	33.4		0.9758	0.9729	33.4	33.3
	2018	2.5000	-	0.9576	-		0.9599	0.9552	-	-
	2019	3.5000	-	0.9411	-		0.9443	0.9379	-	-
	2020	4.5000	-	0.9249	-		0.9290	0.9208	-	-
	2021	5.5000	-	0.9090	-		0.9139	0.9041	-	-
	2022	6.5000	-	0.8934	-		0.8991	0.8877	-	-
	Total				151.1				151.2	151.0
		0.7209	(6) Macaulay	duration				(12) (	Effective duration	0.7085
		0.7085	(7) Modified o	duration						
Liabili	tv									
	2016	0.5000	277.2	0.9914	274.8	]	0.991	0.9909	274.9	274.6
	2017	1.5000	149.9	0.9743	146.1		.9758	0.9729	146.3	145.8
	2018	2.5000	106.8	0.9576	102.3		0.959	0.9552	102.5	102.0
	2019	3.5000	80.0	0.9411	75.3		0,9 43	0.9379	75.6	75.1
	2020	4.5000	49.4	0.9249	45.7		.9290	0.9208	45.9	45.5
	2021	5.5000	21.7	0.9090	19.7		0.9139	0.9041	19.8	19.6
	2022	6.5000	4.0	0.8934	3.5		0.8991	0.8877	3.6	3.5
	Total		•	•	644				668.6	666.2
		1.8176	(6) Macaulay	duration				(12) (	Effective duration	1.7863
		1.7863	(7) Modified o	duration						
Step 3	: Weighted	duration for c	laims liabilities							
					PV of ppaid		APV of Unpaid	Modified	Effective	
					<u>Clams</u>	PFAD	<u>Claims</u>	<b>Duration</b>	Duration	
				Prop. ty	151.1	5	156	0.7085	0.7085	
				Liabi	667.4	115	782	1.7863	1.7863	_
				T _al	818.5	120	938	1.6070	1.6070	
(3) From /	Appendix A	, Sheet 2			(8)	$= 1 / (1 + y - \Delta y)$	^ (2)			
(4) = 1 / (2)	1 + y) ^ (2)		<b>X</b>		(9)	$= 1 / (1 + y + \Delta y)$	) ^ (2)			
$(5) = (3) \times$	. (4) 	(2) · · ·		(5)	(10)	$= (3) \times (8)$				
(b) Sumpr		numns (2) and	(5) aividea by	(5) I OTAI	(11)	$= (3) \times (9)$	1) total] / [2 A	() / [/[] tot-!]		
(7) = (6) /	(I + À)				(12)	= [(10) total - (1)]	.1) ισται] / [2 x Δ	y ] / [(5) total]		

#### **Duration of Premium Liabilities**

Appendix A Sheet 4

Yield (y) =	1.75%							
Annual Δy =	0.10%							
	Lag to Time Zero	AY Incremental	Present Value	Discounted to	PV Factor	PV Factor	Discounted	Discounte
Year	(yrs)	Payment Pattern	Factor	Time Zero	with -∆y	with +∆y	with -∆y	with +Δ
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16
<b>_</b> .								
Property	0.5000	00.00/	0.0011	70.0444	0.0040	0.0000	70.050/	=0.0=0
2016	0.5000	80.0%	0.9914	/9.31%	0.9919	0.9909	/9.35%	/9.2/9
2017	1.5000	15.0%	0.9743	14.61%	0.9758	0.9729	14.64%	14.59%
2018	2.5000	5.0%	0.9576	4.79%	0.9599	0.9552	4.80%	4.78%
2019	3.5000	0.0%	0.9411	0.00%	0.9443	0.9379	0.00%	0.00%
2020	4.5000	0.0%	0.9249	0.00%	0.9290	0.9208	0.00%	0.00%
2021	5.5000	0.0%	0.9090	0.00%	0.9139	0.9041	0.00%	0.00%
2022	6.5000	0.0%	0.8934	0.00%	0.8991	0.8877	0.00%	0.00%
ZUZ3	7.5000	0.0%	0.8780	0.00%	0.8845	0.8715	0.00%	0.00%
TULdi	0 7451	(6) Macaulay Durati	on.	96.71%			96.76%	96.04%
	0.7451	(0) Modified Durati	011					
	0.7322	(7) Mounieu Duratio	)ate of an AV				0 5000	0 5000
	0.3000	(9) Mean Accident [	ate of LIPR				0.3000	0.3000
	0.9900	(10) Discount Factor	r at Time Zero of Pre	m Liah			0.9905	0.9393
	0.5584	(11) Macaulay Dura	tion	in Liub		(17) Effe	e Duration:	0.5684
Γ	0 5684	(12) Modified Durat	ion		•	(1) f Elico	Burucioni	0.0001
L	0.0001	(12) 110011100 20100						
Liability								
2016	0.5000	35.0%	0.9914	34.7%	0.9919		34.71%	34.68%
2017	1.5000	33.0%	0.9743	32.2%	0.97 3	0.9729	32.20%	32.10%
2018	2.5000	12.0%	0.9576	11.5%	599	0.9552	11.52%	11.46%
2019	3.5000	5.0%	0.9411	4.7%	0. 43	0.9379	4.72%	4.69%
2020	4.5000	5.0%	0.9249	4.6%	0.92.	0.9208	4.65%	4.60%
2021	5.5000	5.0%	0.9090	4.5%	0.9139	0.9041	4.57%	4.52%
2022	6.5000	4.0%	0.8934	3.6%	0.8991	0.8877	3.60%	3.55%
2023	7.5000	1.0%	0.8780	<b>0</b> 9%	0.8845	0.8715	0.88%	0.87%
Total				96.6	•		96.85%	96.48%
	1.9282	(6) Macaulay Durati	on					
	1.8950	(7) Modified Duration	on					
	0.5000	(8) Mean Accident	Date of an AY				0.5000	0.5000
	0.3333	(9) Mean Accident	Date of UPR				0.3333	0.3333
	0.9695	(10) Discount Factor	r at Time Zero of Tre	m Liab			0.9712	0.9678
-	1.7615	(11) Macaulay Dura	tion			(17) Effec	tive Duration:	1.7312
	1.7312	(12) Modified Durat	ion					
Maintenan	ce Expenses			-				
2016	0.5000	100%		99.1%	0.9919	0.9909	99.19%	99.09%
2017	1.5000	0%	0.9743	0.0%	0.9758	0.9729	0.00%	0.00%
Total				99.1%			99.19%	99.09%
	0.5000	(b) Macaula Duk						
	0.4914	(7) Moan aurat					0 5000	0 5000
	0.5000	(8) Mean Acc	Date of an AY				0.5000	0.5000
	0.3333	(9) Iviean Accident [	Jale OT UPK	mliah			0.3333	0.3333
	0.9942	(10) Discount Fall	tion	III LIDU		(17) Fff	0.9946	0.9939
Γ	0.3333	(11) Iviacaulay Dura	ion			(1/) ETTEC	uve puration:	0.32/6
L	0.3276	(12) Woolfied Durat	ion					

			Undiscounted	Discount	PV of Prem	Total	APV of Prem	Modified	Effective
_	UPR	ELR	Prem Liabilities	Factor	Liabilities	PFAD	Liabilities	Duration	Duration
Property	550	65.0%	357.5	0.9900	353.9	12	365.9	0.5684	0.5684
Liability	380	80.0%	304.0	0.9695	294.7	51	345.7	1.7312	1.7312
Maintenance		3.50%	32.6	0.9942	32.4	-	32.4	0.3276	0.3276
Total	930		694.1		681.0	63	744.0	1.0983	1.0983

(2) Assume that all policies have 12-month terms with equal earning

(3) From Appendix A, Sheet 2

(4) [ 1 + y ]^-(2)

(5) = (3) x (4) (6) = Sumproduct of columns (2) and (5) divided by (5) total

(7) = (6) / [1 + y]

(8) Average accident date of a future accident year (July 1st)

(9) Mean average accident date of premium liabilities (May 1st).

(10) = (5) total x (1 + y)^ [(8) - (9)] (11) = (6) - (8) + (9) (12) = (11) / [ 1 + y ]  $(12) = (11)^{1} (12 + y)^{1}$ (13) = [1 + y -  $\Delta y$ ]^-(2) (14) = [1 + y +  $\Delta y$ ]^-(2) (15) = (3) x (13) (16) = (3) x (14)

(17) [Discount Factor with  $+\Delta y$  - Discount Factor with  $-\Delta y$ ] / [2 x  $\Delta y$ ] / (10)

### Appendix A Sheet 5

30.66

<u>2015</u>

Date

#### MCT (BAAT) MARKET RISK CAPITAL (MARGIN) REQUIREMENTS

(\$'000)

Interest rate	shock factor
0.01250	(0.01250)

Ca	pital (Margin) Re	equired for Interest Ra	ite Risk		· · ·
		Fair value	Modified or effective duration	Dollar fair value change (01)x(02)x∆y	Dollar fair value change (01)x(02)x(-∆y)
(55)		(01)	(02)	(03)	(04)
Interest rate sensitive assets:					
Term deposits	01			0	C
Bonds and debentures	02	4,415.0	1. 441	85	-85
Commercial paper	03			0	C
Loans	04			0	C
Mortgages	05			0	C
MBS and ABS	06			0	C
Preferred shares	07			0	C
Other (specify)	08			0	C
Total interest rate sensitive assets	09	4,415.		85	-85
Interest rate sensitive liabilities:					
Net unpaid claims and adjustment expenses	10	\$8.5	1.6070	19	-19
Net premium liabilities	11	744.0	1.0983	10	-10
Other as approved by OSFI				0	C
Total interest rate sensitive liabilities	19	1,682.5		29	-29
		Notional value		Dollar fair value	Dollar fair value -
				Δy	Δy
Allowable interest rate derivatives:		(05)		(06)	(07)
Long positions					
Short positions	21				
Total allowable interest rate derivat res	29			0	C
Capital required for $\Delta y$ shock increase	30			56	
Capital required for $\Delta y$ shock decrease	31				C
Total interest rate risk margin	39				56

Note: ∆y = 1.25%

Row 02 from Appendix A, Sheet 1 Row 10 from Appendix A, Sheet 3 Row 11 from Appendix A, Sheet 4

#### ABC INSURANCE COMPANY 31 DECEMBER 2015 CASH FLOW MATCHING MODEL

Cash Flow (in \$000's) for Determination of Discount Rate

	Reinvestment Rate	2					1.000%									
	Internal Rate of Re	turn (IRR) on Cash Fl	lows:			IRR per Col (4)	2.153%									
	Estimated investm	ent expense ratio					0.250%									
	Indicated discount	rate net of expenses	s				1.903%									
	Cas	sh In-flow from Asse	ts		[		Cash Outflow			Net Inflow	(Excess)			Reinveste	d Funds	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4a)	(4b)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
.,	Cash from	(To)/ From	Total	Payment of Net	Payment of Net	Payment of Net	Cash	Total	Net inflow	Cumulative	Net inflow	Cumulative	Opening	Interest	Deposit /	Closing
Year	Investment	Reinvestment	Inflow	<b>Claim Liabilities</b>	Prem Liabilities	Policy Liabilities	Withdrawal	Outflow	No Reinv/WD	Excess	With Reinv/WD	Excess	Balance	Earned on Reinv.	(Withdrawal)	Balance
	Sheet 3	See below	= (2) + (3)			Sheet 3	= (4) - (5)	= (5) + (6)	= (2) - (5)	Based on (8)	= (4) - (7)	Based on (10)	= (15) prior year	=(12) * Reinv. Rate	= -(3)	=(12) + (13)+ (14)
From Sheet 1	-349.985	0	-349.985	-275.865	-43,219			-349.985								
2016	140,960	-10 932	130.028	110.075	19 953	130.028	0	130.028	10 932	10 932	0	0	0	0	10 932	10 932
2017	87,733	-15.886	71.847	59,385	12,462	71.847	0	71.847	15,886	26.817	0	0	10.932	109	15,886	26.926
2018	54.773	-7.523	47.250	41,720	5,530	47.250	0	47.250	7.523	34,340		0	26.926	269	7.523	34.718
2019	2.648	27.826	30.473	27.400	3.073	30.473	0	30.473	-27.826	6.514		. 0	34.718	347	-27.826	7.240
2020	17.648	5.975	23.622	21.665	1,957	23.622	0	23.622	-5.975	54	0	0	7,240	72	-5.975	1.338
2021	32,033	-6.866	25,166	12,925	1.086	14.011	11.155	25,166	18.022	18	0	0	1.338	13	6,866	8,217
2022	893	8,299	9,191	8,715	476	9,191	0	9,191	-8,299	10.26	ō	0	8,217	82	-8.299	-,
2023	35,893	-3.391	32,502	4.875	273	5.148	27.354	32,502	30.745	11.007	ō j	0		0	3,391	3,392
2024	0	3.010	3.010	2,895	115	3.010	0	3.010	-3.010	37,997	n i	0	3,392	34	-3.010	416
2025	0	400	400	345	55	400	0	400	-400	37,597		0	416	4	-400	20
2026	0	20	20	0	20	20	0	20	-20	37.5		0	20	0	-20	
Total ex 2015	372,577	932	373,509	290,000	45,000	335,000	38,509	373,509	37 577		0	-		-		-
Underlying Duration Calculation										$\mathbf{V}$						
IRR on Cash Flows (y):	2.257%			1.903%	1.903%					•						
Payment Lag (EOP)	Disc Factor															
1	0.978			0.981	0.981											
2	0.956			0.963	0.963											
-	0.025			0.945	0.945											
-	0.0015			0.045	0.037											
4	0.915			0.927	0.927											
5	0.894			0.910	0.910											
6	0.875			0.893	0.893											
7	0.855			0.876	0.876				•							
8	0.836			0.860	0.860											
9	0.818			0.844	0.844											
10	0.900			0.929	0.929											
11	0.782			0.813	0.813											
	0.702			0.015	0.015											
Macaulay Duration	2.747			2.617	2.122											
Modified Duration	2.687			2.568	2.082											
Notes Cells in red are expansions to (4a) See Revised Educational (4b) See Revised Educational (5) = (4a) + (4b)	the educational not Note: Discounting a Note: Discounting a	te Discounting and Cash and Cash Flow Con and Cash Flow Con	Flow Considerations for P siderations for P siderations for P	<del>x P&amp;C Insurers .</del> &C Insurers - Appendix E &C Insurers - Appendix E	3, 9, 97-3,	5										

Appendix B

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Class of Insurance	Direct UPR	Assumed UPR	Gross UPR	Ceded UPR	Net UPR	Expected Reinsur. Premium	Selected Undisc. Loss Ratio (% Prem)	Losses +	Selected ULAE Ratio (% Loss + ALAE)	ULAE	Undisc. Losses + LAE
Personal Property	10,000	0	10,000	500	9,500	500	80%	7,740		383	8,123
Commercial Property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%			-	-
Aircraft	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0			-	-
Auto - Liability - Regular	50,000	0	50,000	1,000	49,000	3,000	0%	5,080		2,250	47,330
Auto - PA - Regular	25,000	0	25,000	3,000	22,000	1,500	115. %	23,575		1,350	24,925
Auto - Other - Regular	30,000	0	30,000	500	29,500	1,000	67.0%	19,095		918	20,013
Auto - Liability - Facility	1,500	0	1,500	0	1,500		93.3%	1,400		-	1,400
Auto - PA - Facility	750	0	750	0	750	0	93.3%	700		-	700
Auto - Other - Facility	750	0	750	0	750	0	93.3%	700		-	700
Boiler & Machinery	0	0	0	0	0	λ	0.0%	-		-	-
Credit	0	0	0	0		ŏ	0.0%	-		-	-
Credit Protection	0	0	0	0		• 0	0.0%	-		-	-
Fidelity	0	0	0	0	(	0	0.0%	-		-	-
Hail	0	0	0	0	0	• 0	0.0%	-		-	-
Legal Expense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	-		-	-
Liability - Total	0	5,000	5,01	1,0	.,000	250	73.0%	2,738		169	2,906
Other Approved Products	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	-		-	-
Surety - Total	0	0	0		0	0	0.0%	-		-	-
Title	0	Q	X	0	0	0	0.0%	-		-	-
Marine	0	7	0	0	0	0	0.0%	-		-	-
Accident & Sickness	0	d		0	0	0	0.0%	-		-	-
Total	118,000	5,000	23,000	6,000	117,000	6,250	91.8%	101,028		5,069	106,097

(1) From Prem Liab Ed Note, appendix B, sheet 1, column (1)(2) From Prem Liab Ed Note, appendix B, sheet 1, column (2)

$$(3) = (1) + (2)$$

(4) From company accounting department or annual return

(5) = (3) - (4)

(6) From company

(7) Similar calculation as gross analysis (see Prem Liab Ed Note)

(8) = [ (5) - (6) ]x (7)

# (9) n/a (10) Prem Liab Ed Note, appendix B, sheet 1, column (10) (11) = (8) + (10)

	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)
Class of Insurance	Discount Factor	Discounted Losses + LAE	Discount Factor (with MfAD)	Discounted Losses + LAE (with Int. PfAD)	Interest Rate PfAD	Claims Dev't. MfAD	Claims Dev't. PfAD	Ceded Discounted Losse +ALAE	Reinsur. MfAD	Reinsur. PfAD	Total PfAD	Discounted Losses with PfADs
Personal Property	0.983	7,984	0.987	8,015	31	7.0%	559	74	1.0%	7	597	8,581
Commercial Property		0		0	0	0.0%	2		1.0%	0	0	0
Aircraft		0		0	0	0.0%		0	1.0%	0	0	0
Auto - Liability - Regular	0.922	43,647	0.943	44,642	994	11.	+,801	4,277	1.0%	43	5,838	49,485
Auto - PA - Regular	0.932	23,234	0.953	23,758	524	10.09	123	5,833	1.0%	58	2,906	26,140
Auto - Other - Regular	0.977	19,553	0.988	19,773	220	7.0%	1,309	1,275	1.0%	13	1,601	21,154
Auto - Liability - Facility	0.929	1,300	0.929	1,300		4%	200	0	1.0%	0	200	1,500
Auto - PA - Facility	0.929	650	0.929	650	0	15.4%	100	0	1.0%	0	100	750
Auto - Other - Facility	0.929	650	0.929	650	0	15.4%	100	0	1.0%	0	100	750
Boiler & Machinery		0		0		0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Credit		0		1	0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Credit Protection		0			0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Fidelity		0		0	0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Hail		0		0		0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Legal Expense		0	-	0	0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Liability - Total	0.937	2,724	0 .5	771	47	10.0%	272	890	1.0%	9	328	3,052
Other Approved Products		0			0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Surety - Total		0		0	0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Title		_0		0	0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Marine				0	0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Accident & Sickness				0	0	0.0%	0	0	1.0%	0	0	0
Total	0.940	99,742	0.957	101,558	1,816	9.7%	9,725	13,024	1.0%	130	11,671	111,413

(12) Similar calculation as gross analysis (see Prem Liab Ed Note)
(13) = (11) x (12)
(14) Similar calculation as gross analysis (see Prem Liab Ed Note)
(15) = (11) x (14)
(16) = (15) - (13)
(17) Claims development MfAD used for the valuation of claims liabilities
(18) = (13) x (17)

(19) See Prem Liab Ed Note, Appendix C, Sheet 2

(20) Reinsurance MfAD used for the valuation of claims liabilities

(21) = (19) x (20)

(22) = (16) + (18) + (21) [input for P&C annual return Page 30.64, Col (14) ]

(23) = (13) + (22)

	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	(31)	(32)	(33)	(34)
Class of Insurance	Maint. Expense Ratio (% Gross Prem.)	Maint. Expenses	Contingent Comm. Rate (% Gross Prem.)	Contingent Comm.	Premium Liabilities	Unearned (Ceded) Comm.	Equite NPR	Max. Allowable DPAE	Initial DPAE	Booked DPAE	Premium Deficiency
Personal Property	3.00%	300	0.00%	0	9,381	129	9				
Commercial Property	3.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	(					
Aircraft	3.00%	0	0.00%	0	0						
Auto - Liability - Regular	3.00%	1,500	0.00%	0	53,985	258	3				
Auto - PA - Regular	3.00%	750	0.00%	0	28,390		1				
Auto - Other - Regular	3.00%	900	0.00%	0	2054	12					
Auto - Liability - Facility	3.00%	45	0.00%	0	1,5		)				
Auto - PA - Facility	3.00%	23	0.00%	0	773		)				
Auto - Other - Facility	3.00%	23	0.00%	0	73	(	)				
Boiler & Machinery	3.00%	0	0.00%	0	$\mathbf{\lambda}$	• (	)				
Credit	3.00%	0	0.00%	0	O O	0	)				
Credit Protection	3.00%	0	0.00%		0	(	)				
Fidelity	3.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	(	)				
Hail	3.00%	0	0.009	<b>1</b>	• 0	(	)				
Legal Expense	3.00%	0	0.00%		0	(	)				
Liability - Total	3.00%	150	0. 7%	0	3,452	258	3				
Other Approved Products	3.00%	0	0.9%	0	0	(	)				
Surety - Total	3.00%	0		0	0	(	)				
Title	3.00%	• 0	0.00%	0	0	(	)				
Marine	3.00%		20%	0	0	(	)				
Accident & Sickness	3.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	(	D				
Total	3.00%	3, 2	0.00%	0	121,353	1,549	) (2,804)		20,000		2,804

(24) From Prem Liab Ed Note, appendix B, sheet 6, row (10)
(25) = (3) x (24)
(26) Based on company budget and projected loss ratios
(27) = (3) x (26)
(28) = (6) + (23) + (25) + (27)
(29) From company accounting department or annual return
(30) = (5) - (28) + (29)

#### (31) = max [ (30) , 0 ]

(32) From company accounting department
(33) = min [ (31) , (32) ] [input for P&C return 20.10, row(43)]
(34) = - min [ (30) , 0 ] [input for P&C return 20.20, row (15)]

	(35)	(36)	(37)		
Class of Insurance	Premium Liabilities Δy= +0.1%	Premium Liabilities Δy= -0.1%	Premium Liabilities Effective Duration		<b>(</b>
Personal Property					
Commercial Property					
Aircraft					
Auto - Liability - Regular					
Auto - PA - Regular					
Auto - Other - Regular				•	
Auto - Liability - Facility					
Auto - PA - Facility					
Auto - Other - Facility					
Boiler & Machinery					
Credit					•
Credit Protection					
Fidelity					
Hail					
Legal Expense					
Liability - Total					
Other Approved Products					
Surety - Total		•			
Title		•	X	-	
Marine					
Accident & Sickness					
Total	120.997	121. 2	3.803		

(35) = recalculation of (28) using discount rate + 0.1%
(36) = recalculation of (28) using discount rate - 0.1%
(37) = [(36)-(35)] / [2 x 0.1%] / (28)

Appendix C Sheet 4

## **Premium Liabilities Macaulay Duration**

The following is a deterministic approach to demonstrate that the duration of the net premium liabilities can be derived from the duration of a future accident year.

Assume the following:

- i = yield-to-maturity discount rate.
- Assume losses are uniformly distributed and premiums are annual and evenly distributed.
- Let t = timing of payments of a future accident year (0.5/1.5/2.5/etc.) from the valuation or calculation date. For simplification, assume there is only one payment made each year and that the first payment is made at the average accident date.
- Pt is your cash flow payment at time t.
- Let x = difference between the mean accident date of a future accident year and the mean accident date underlying the unearned premium reserve = 1/6 (0.50 less 0.333).



• Modified duration can then be calculated by dividing by (1+i).

The following table summarizes the results from the monthly testing of the duration of the premium liabilities performed by the Sub-committee on Premium Liabilities Ed Note Revisions of the Committee on Property and Casualty Insurance Financial Reporting (PCFRC) against the following:

- 1. Previous CIA interpolation approach with the median average accident date;
- 2. Previous CIA interpolation approach with the mean average accident date;
- 3. New approximation using the duration of a future accident year minus an adjustment for accident dates using the mean (.3333); and
- 4. New approximation using the duration of a future accident year minus an adjustment for accident dates using the median (.2929).

			Macaulay Duration of the semium abilities						
			,		$\sim$				
			YE 2014 CIA approx using interpolation	YF 2. 1.CV ap, ox L ng inter olatio.	New opprox assuming	New Approx w/			
			AD	Art	w/ mean AAD	AAD			
yield rate	20.00%	•		<b>•</b>					
	Stable Pattern		10.3%	-7.1%	-0.8%	-2.5%			
	Decreasing Pattern		-1 0%	-8.7%	-1.1%	-3.5%			
	Long Tail		11.1%	-7.8%	-0.8%	-2.4%			
	Short		-15.4%	-5.2%	-0.8%	-9.8%			
yield rate		7				1			
	Stable 1. ttern		-9.2%	-6.2%	-0.4%	-2.0%			
	ecc. sing A itern		-11.8%	-7.8%	-0.6%	-2.8%			
	cong rail		-10.0%	-6.8%	-0.4%	-2.0%			
	Short Tail		-15.2%	-5.1%	-0.5%	-9.4%			
yield rate	3.50%								
	Stable Pattern		-8.5%	-5.6%	-0.1%	-1.7%			
	<b>Decreasing Pattern</b>		-11.0%	-7.1%	-0.2%	-2.4%			
	Long Tail		-9.2%	-6.2%	-0.1%	-1.7%			
	Short Tail		-15.0%	-5.0%	-0.2%	-9.0%			
yield rate	0.00%								
	Stable Pattern		-8.1%	-5.3%	0.0%	-1.5%			
	<b>Decreasing Pattern</b>		-10.5%	-6.7%	0.0%	-2.1%			
	Long Tail		-8.8%	-5.8%	0.0%	-1.5%			
	Short Tail		-14.9%	-4.9%	0.0%	-8.7%			

### Summary of Results - Difference versus exact monthly colculation