

Educational Note

Guidance for 2007 Assumptions for Hypothetical Wind up and Solvency Valuations with Effective Dates between December 31, 2006 and Lecember 30, 2007

Document 207032

This document was archived June 12, 2023

Educational Note

Guidance for 2007 Assumptions for Hypothetical Wind ap and Solvency Valuations with Effective Dates between December 21, 2006 and December 30, 2007

Committed on Pension Plan Financial Reporting

April 2007

Document 207032

Ce document est disponible en français © 2007 Canadian Institute of Actuaries

Memorandum

To: All Pension Practitioners

From: Stephen Butterfield, Chairperson

Committee on Pension Plan Financial Reporting

John Brierley, Chairperson

Practice Council

Date: April 11, 2007

Subject: Educational Note - Guidance for ssumptions for

> **Hypothetical Wind-up and Solvency** th Effective Dates

between December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2 30, 2907

This educational note provides guidance for 2007 amptions to be applied in hypothetical wind-up and solvency valuation

In accordance with the Institute's Policy on Que Process for Approval of Practice-Related aducational note has been approved by the Material other than Standards of Practice, the Committee on Pension Plan Finance Reporting (PPFRC) and has received final approval for distribution by the I uncil on April 4, 2007. ractice C

f the Standards of Practice, As outlined in subsection 1220

"The actuary sl be familiar with relevant educational notes and other *a material*. [Effective December 1, 2002] designated education

Educational other designated educational material describe but do not tice in illustrative situations. recommend pr

A practice which the notes describe for a situation is not necessarily the only accepted practice for that situation and is not necessarily accepted actuarial practice for a different situation.

The educational notes are intended to illustrate the application (but not necessarily the only application) of the standards, so there should be no conflict between them."

The PPFRC would like to express its gratitude to Desjardins, Industrial Alliance, Standard Life and Sun Life for providing the committee with data. Like last year, the data were considerably more voluminous and reliable than that used in previous years and have been extremely helpful in enabling us to develop this guidance.

Note: The annuity survey was undertaken, and this educational note was prepared, by a subcommittee of PPFRC, comprising: Stephen Butterfield, FCIA; Paul Chang, FCIA; and Martin Cyrenne, FCIA.

SB



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	5
2. RETROACTIVE APPLICATION	
3. BENEFITS ASSUMED TO BE SETTLED BY LUMP SUM TRANSF	
4. BENEFITS ASSUMED TO BE SETTLED BY PURCHASE OF IMM	
NON-INDEXED ANNUITIES	
5. BENEFITS ASSUMED TO BE SETTLED BY PURCHASE OF DEFE	
NON-INDEXED ANNUITIES	7
6. INDEXED PENSIONS	7
7. LARGE PLANS	8
8. MORTALITY	8
9. WIND-UP EXPENSES	9

1. INTRODUCTION

Under paragraph 3720.01 of the Standards of Practice, the assumptions used for a wind-up valuation (and hence a hypothetical wind-up and solvency valuation) would:

in respect of benefit entitlements that are expected to be settled by purchase of annuities, reflect single premium annuity rates, and

in respect of benefit entitlements that are expected to be settled by lump sum transfer, reflect the recommendations respecting capitalized values.

This document has been prepared by the Committee on Pension Plan Financial Reporting (PPFRC) and is intended to provide actuaries with guidance in selecting appropriate assumptions for these two assumed methods of settlement for hypothetical wind-up valuations and solvency valuations with effective dates between December 31, 2006 and December 30, 2007.

This guidance does not address the question of which group a whether the benefits for a specific group of active and/or deferred vested membes would be valued using the annuity purchase assumption or the commuted value assumption. The actuary would review the plan provisions and the requirements of any applicable legislation to determine the answer to this question for any particular persion plan.

2. RETROACTIVE APPLICATION

If an actuary has issued a funding valuation report with a calculation date on or after December 31, 2006 before the publication of his guidance, the actuary would consider Practice which states that a report may be paragraph 1820.33 of the Standards invalidated if additional information becones available about the entity as it was at the calculation date. The actuary would als consider paragraph 1520.07 of the Standards of Practice, which provides ents that provide additional information about an dculation date. One of the examples cited in paragraph 1520.07 entity as it was at the of the Standards of Pra ce is the publication of an experience study that provides information for on of assumptions. Finally, paragraph 1820.04 of the select Standards of Prac s that an actuary should withdraw or amend a report if dates the report comes to hand after the report date. information that inva

The actuary would consider these sections of the Standards of Practice in determining how to proceed.

3. BENEFITS ASSUMED TO BE SETTLED BY LUMP SUM TRANSFER

For all valuations, paragraph 3740.05 of the Standards of Practice applies. In particular, for a hypothetical wind-up valuation, or a solvency valuation, that paragraph states,

The actuary should assume that the wind-up date, the <u>calculation date</u> and the settlement date are coincident."

Accordingly, the wind-up liabilities for benefits expected to be settled through the payment of a lump sum transfer would be determined in accordance with section 3800 applying the assumptions consistent with the particular valuation date.

4. BENEFITS ASSUMED TO BE SETTLED BY PURCHASE OF IMMEDIATE NON-INDEXED ANNUITIES

Data

The PPFRC has compiled information from four insurance companies active in the group annuity market with respect to group annuities sold during 2006. After reviewing the information provided, there were 52 group annuities sold by these insurance companies during 2006 that the PPFRC believes are representative of the competitive group annuity market. The total premiums for the 52 group annuities, in respect of non-indexed immediate annuities, were approximately \$538 million, covering a total of 5,783 lives, and reflecting an average premium of approximately \$93,000 per member. The amount of data is comparable to previous years and the PPFRC believes that the data yield credible results.

Methodology

The insurance companies were asked to determine the effective a nual interest rate underlying each annuity purchase based on the sex distinct NP° Mortality Table, including mortality improvements projected to 2015 using Stale AA (UP94@2015). The PPFRC compared these interest rates to the unadjusted CANSIAI V121758 rates, which are the weekly series of the weighted average yields to Government of Canada bonds of maturity of 10 years and more. The CANSIAI rates were taken at the dates nearest the annuity pricing dates (not necessarily the actual purchase dates).

Results and Conclusions

For immediate non-indexed grow a multiss with a total premium of at least \$15 million, the data are very similar to the data collected in the previous two years. Therefore, the data suggest that, in most circumstances an appropriate proxy for estimating the cost of purchasing a group annuity to improve the non-indexed pensions with a total premium of greater than \$15 million is 6 basis points above the yield on Government of Canada long-term bonds (series V 21758) in conjunction with the UP94@2015 mortality tables.

However, this year's of the immediate non-indexed group annuity purchases clearly indicate a correlation between the interest rate underlying the purchase price and the total premium. In particular, in cases where the total premium is less than \$15 million, the data indicate that there is a lesser spread between the underlying interest rate and the yield on long-term Government of Canada bonds. In fact, for small annuity purchases, the data indicate that the spread disappears. Following discussions with representatives of the insurance companies, these data are considered to reflect the actual market for immediate non-indexed group annuities accurately. As such, the data suggest that, in cases where the total group annuity purchase price for immediate non-indexed group annuities is expected to be less than \$15 million, the spread between the interest rate underlying the annuity purchase and the yield on long-term Government of Canada bonds would grade linearly between 45 basis points and 0 basis points, based on the total expected premium.

The PPFRC used the weekly unadjusted CANSIM Series V121758 in its analysis, since the exact date of pricing was not clear. However, actuaries would develop an appropriate

assumption based on the applicable daily CANSIM Series (i.e., unadjusted CANSIM V39062).

As at December 29, 2006, the unadjusted CANSIM V39062 rate was 4.15%. This rate would form the basis for developing an appropriate underlying interest rate for valuations of immediate non-indexed group annuities with effective dates of December 31, 2006 / January 1, 2007. Prior to rounding, an applicable underlying interest rate for annuities with total premiums in excess of \$15 million would then be determined as 4.15% + 0.45% = 4.60%. For very small annuity purchases, an applicable underlying interest rate would be determined as 4.15% + 0.00% = 4.15%.

Each actuary would use discretion in determining whether to round the interest rate obtained above to the nearest multiple of 5, 10 or 25 basis points. Consistency in the application of such rounding would be followed.

5. BENEFITS ASSUMED TO BE SETTLED BY PURCYASE OF DEFERRED NON-INDEXED ANNUITIES

From the 52 group annuities mentioned earlier, 32 included some portion of deferred non-indexed annuitants. The total premium in respect 1 the deferred non-indexed annuitants was approximately \$62 million.

The data revealed that the average spread between the interest rate underlying each annuity purchase and the unadjusted CANSIM V12.733 rate at the same date was nil if the spread for each annuity purchase is weighted accordance with the total premium. It is noted that this is lower than the 0.18% stread that was revealed in last year's survey. Following discussions with representatives of the insurance companies, these data are considered to reflect the actual market for deferred non-indexed group annuities accurately.

Therefore, the data suggest that it most circumstances, an appropriate proxy for estimating the cost of our being a group annuity for deferred non-indexed pensions would be based on the oplicable daily CANSIM Series (i.e., unadjusted CANSIM V39062) in conjuly to a with the UP94@2015 mortality tables.

It is acknowledged that the amount of data available with respect to group deferred annuities is limited. Actuaries would, therefore, employ caution in applying this guidance to particular situations, given all the variables inherent in the purchase of deferred annuities (i.e., deferral period, complexity of plan provisions, etc.).

6. INDEXED PENSIONS

The PPFRC received effectively no data with respect to the purchase of annuities where benefits are automatically indexed in accordance with the increases in some external index (e.g., CPI). Accordingly, the PPFRC is not able to provide any direct guidance on the appropriate basis to be used to value such annuities. The PPFRC does, however, believe that insurers would be inclined to back such annuities with assets that most closely match these liabilities. Accordingly, actuaries would be expected to take into consideration the fact that indexed pensions would likely be backed by assets with yields correlated to inflation. The most common of these assets are Government of Canada real return bonds. Therefore, while non-indexed annuities may be backed by provincial bonds

and/or mortgages that provide higher yields than Government of Canada bonds, such assets may not be available for indexed annuities.

7. LARGE PLANS

Due to capacity constraints within the Canadian group annuity market, it is unlikely that large plans would be able to purchase annuities upon plan wind-up. While the capacity of the group annuity market is not clearly known, the PPFRC believes that annuity liabilities exceeding approximately \$500 million may have difficulty in effecting a purchase.

It may be possible to market a large annuity as a series of smaller annuities over a period of time, thereby enabling a plan with greater annuity liabilities still to access the annuity market. However, the PPFRC recognizes this approach may not be suitable, or even possible, in every instance. Further, large plans with inflationary increases tied to an external index (i.e., CPI related), would likely have difficult in successfully settling liabilities through a group annuity purchase.

It is very difficult to predict how the benefits of members f a pension would s in red be settled for large plans with effectively no access to group annuity markets. committee of the CIA will undertake research on his ubject In the meantime, the PPFRC believes that the actuary would make a reaso able pothesis for the manner in which the benefits may be settled. Based on this hypothesis, the actuary would then develop appropriate assumptions. Note that, it most encumstances, the PPFRC believes that the principles underlying the determina on a annuity purchases would continue to apply. Accordingly, an actuary would be guited by the underlying philosophy used by insurance companies in pricing gr an vities, i.e. that assets with characteristics similar to the liabilities are used to "implunize" the urchase.

8. MORTALITY

Whether or not the actu dering a settlement mechanism other than the purchase portality experience of pensioners can be a factor in developing an of annuities, the appropriate basis. The leter hinant is whether there is credible and persistent mortality experience demons ang substandard pensioner mortality. There is evidence that insurers may conside demonstrable substandard mortality experience when establishing the pricing basis for specific group annuities. Also, for large plans, where the actuary is hypothesizing an alternate settlement method (e.g., development of an "immunized" portfolio), it may be appropriate to reflect substandard mortality in developing the expected cash flows to be immunized. Accordingly, provided the experience is credible and persistent, the actuary may reflect an appropriate adjustment to the UP94@2015 tables, whether the benefits are assumed to be settled through an actual annuity purchase or through an alternate settlement mechanism.

When reflecting substandard mortality, the actuary would be expected to make provision for future improvements in mortality, in a manner consistent with the mortality improvements inherent in the assumed annuity purchase basis.

9. WIND-UP EXPENSES

Unless the actuary is satisfied that the expenses of wind-up are not to be charged to the pension fund, the actuary would make an assumption regarding these expenses and the assumption would be explicit. Expenses normally include such items as fees related to preparation of the actuarial wind-up report, fees imposed by a pension supervisory authority, legal fees, commissions to buy annuities, as well as administration, custodial and investment management expenses. Actuaries would refer to Educational Note: Expenses in Funding Valuations for Pension Plans for further guidance.

