

Institut canadien des actuaires

## **Revised Draft of Educational Note**

# Calculation of Incremental Cost on a Hypothetical Wind Up or Solvency Basis

This document replaced document 209034

This document was replaced by document 210095

This document was archived June 12, 2023



## **Revised Draft of Educational Note**



### April 2010

#### Document 210022

Ce document est disponible en français © 2010 Canadian Institute of Actuaries

Members should be familiar with educational notes. Educational notes describe but do not recommend practice in illustrative situations. They do not constitute Standards of Practice and are, therefore, not binding. They are, however, intended to illustrate the application (but not necessarily the only application) of the Standards of Practice, so there should be no conflict between them. They are intended to assist actuaries in applying Standards of Practice in respect of specific matters. Responsibility for the manner of application of Standards of Practice in specific circumstances remains that of the members in the pension practice area.



## Memorandum

То:	All Fellows, Affiliates, Associates and Correspondents of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries
From:	Tyrone G. Faulds, Chairperson Practice Council
	Michael Banks, Chairperson Committee on Pension Plan Financial Reporting
Date:	April 12, 2010
Subject:	Revised Draft Educational Note – Calculation of Incremental Cost on a Hypothetical Wind-Up or Solvency Basis

The Actuarial Standards Board published a revised E or Revised Practice-Standards Specific for Pension Plans oruary 16. 2010 The revised exposure (www.actuaries.ca/members/publications/2010/21000 draft, if adopted, would require, with limited ep ons, an external user report on funding of a pension plan to report the incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis. This revised draft educational note is intended to assist actuaries in the calculation of a pension plan's increment on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency d be published in final form if and when the basis. It is anticipated such a p shes final standards of Practice including a requirement Actuarial Standards Board pub to report the incremental cost a pension plan on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis.

A draft educational exterior the same subject was issued on April 9, 2009 (http://www.actuaries.ca/mcmbers/publications/2009/209034e.pdf) (the "prior draft"). Several changes have then incorporated in the revised draft, considering comments received. The changes are shown red-lined to the original draft.

In accordance with the Institute's Policy on Due Process for the Approval of Guidance Material Other than Standards of Practice, this revised draft educational note has been prepared by the Committee on Pension Plan Financial Reporting (PPFRC) and has received final approval for distribution by the Practice Council on March 4, 2010.

As outlined in subsection 1220 of the Standards of Practice, "*The actuary should be familiar with relevant Educational Notes and other designated educational material.*" That subsection explains further that a "practice which the Educational Notes describe for a situation is not necessarily the only accepted practice for that situation and is not necessarily <u>accepted actuarial practice</u> for a different situation." As well, "Educational Notes are intended to illustrate the application (but not necessarily the only application) of the Standards, so there should be no conflict between them."

Comments regarding the changes from the prior draft are welcome and should be addressed to Michael Banks at his CIA Online Directory address, michael.banks@mercer.com.

TGF, MB



# CALCULATION OF INCREMENTAL COST ON A HYPOTHETICAL WIND-UP OR SOLVENCY BASIS

A requirement has been added to the Standards of Practice, effective Month XX, 20<u>1</u>09, that an external user report on funding for a pension plan, which includes a hypotheticalwind-up or solvency valuation, will include an incremental cost calculated on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis. This educational note provides guidance for actuaries on the calculation of the incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis.

The Practice-Specific Standards of Practice for Pension Plans (as effective Month XX,  $20\underline{1}09$ ) include the following reference to incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis,

3260.0<u>6</u>4 If an <u>external user report</u> includes one or more hypothetical wind-up valuations or solvency valuations, then for any one such hypothetical wind-up valuation or solvency valuation, the <u>external user report</u> should, <u>unless the pension plan is a "designated plac" which has, as members, only persons "connected" with the employ as bose to ms are defined in the Income Tax Regulations (Canada),</u>

*include<u>report</u> the incremental cost between the <u>calculation date</u> and the next <u>calculation date</u>.* 

#### **Calculation Methodology**

One methodology to calculate the increase tail cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis, calculated at the calculation date (time 0) and covering the period to the next calculation date (time t), is

1. the present value at tip of

....

(a) expected bencit paramets between time 0 and time t, discounted to time 0,

plus

(b) a projected by pometical wind-up or solvency liability at time t, discounted to time 0, alloving for, if applicable to the pension plan being valued,

expected decrements and related changes in membership status between time 0 and time t,

accrual of service to time t,

expected changes in benefits to time t (e.g., increases in a flat dollar pension formula, or increases in the maximum pension limits of the Income Tax Act), and

a projection of pensionable earnings to time t,

less

2. the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability at time 0,

#### less

- 3. the excess of
  - (a) the expected return on assets between time 0 and time t, based on the going concern discount rate, over,
  - (b) the expected return on assets between time 0 and time t, based on the hypothetical wind-up or solvency discount rate,

discounted to time 0 based on the going concern discount rate.

Item 3 provides an offset to the value of the expected growth in the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liabilities for expected return on plan assets in excess of the hypothetical wind-up or solvency valuation discount rate. The actuary may or may not include this item, taking into consideration the requirements of the law or the terms of engagement, where relevant. Where it is included, the actuary would report the about as a separate component of the incremental cost, and disclose the assumptions used.

#### Assumptions

The assumptions for the expected benefit payments on item 1(a) and decrement probabilities, service accruals, and projected changes indenefits and/or pensionable earnings in item 1(b) would be consistent with meassumptions used in the pension plan's going concern valuation between time 0 and ime t, in such a valuation waswere to be conducted as of the same calculation date. Alternatively, if the actuary considers such experience may be different from the longer term expected experience assumed for the going concern valuation, they he/she may reflect expected experience between time 0 and time t.

te the projected liability at time t in item 1(b) would The assumptions used to <u>celcu</u> generally be consistent. with he assumptions for the hypothetical wind-up or solvency the interest rates remain at the levels applicable at time 0, liability at time 0, assu that current Standards of Practice for the calculation of commuted values and current atec Maty purchase costs remain in effect at time t. adjusted, as guidance for estin nni for time sensitive assumptions such as lowering the commuted necessary, to accou value discount rate lect period by t years, or using time t as the "current year" for generational mortality table purposes.

The interest rate to be used to discount from time t to time 0 for items 1(a) and 1(b) and the discount rate to be used in the calculation of item 3(b) would be the discount rate used to determine the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability at time 0. However, if this rate is a real discount rate (net of inflation), use of a corresponding nominal discount rate would be appropriate. Where there is more than one discount rate used for the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability of a member at time 0 (e.g., because there are probabilities assigned to the method of settlement), the projected liability would be split into these same components and discounted to time 0 using the discount rate inherent in each component.

 $\pm$  TIf a going concern valuation was conducted as of the calculation date, the expected rate of return on assets to be used for item 3(a)  $\pm$  could be

the discount rate used in the pension plan's going concernsuch valuation, or

the discount rate used in such valuation, excluding any margin for adverse deviations.at time 0, if such a valuation was conducted as of the same calculation date.

If a going concern valuation was not conducted at time 0, assumptions would be selected for expected decrements and expected return on assets for the period from time 0 to time t consistent with assumptions appropriate for a going concern valuation of the plan at time 0.

#### Additional Considerations

Only active plan members as of time 0 and expected new entrants over the period between time 0 and time t need be considered in calculating the incremental cost. However, projected hypothetical wind-up benefits at time t would reflect the value of a deferred or immediate pension to which a member is expected to be entitled based on the assumed probabilities of termination or retirement between time 0 and time t.

The projected hypothetical wind-up liabilities at time t would be calculated using the same postulated scenario as to the circumstances of the hypothetical wind-up as is used for the hypothetical wind-up valuation at time 0.

The incremental cost may include the <u>impaeffe</u>ct of apendage amendment to the pension plan, consistent with paragraph 3210.16 of the standards of Practice.

The incremental cost would allow for the expected changes in benefits due to factors such as members becoming eligible for early stirement "grow-in" benefits, or members becoming eligible for unreduced or ubridized early retirement benefits, where such factors would result in a significant increase in wind-up liabilities between time 0 toand time t.

If the incremental cost is using reported based on the solvency basis and that basis includes smoothing, the actual v would reflect the smoothing in the incremental cost.

#### Approximations

Where the discountrate that would be used to value the projected hypothetical windup or solvency liable ty for a particular member at time t would be different from the discount rate(s) used at time 0 (e.g., because the probability of method of settlement is expected to be different at time t than it was at time 0), it would be appropriate to account for the change in discount rates. However, a reasonable approximation may be to value the projected hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability for that member based on the discount rate components at time 0, and to discount the liabilities using that same assumption.

Where the impaceffect on the incremental cost is not significant, a reasonable approximation may be to assume no decrements and/or new entrants between time 0 and time t.

Where the impacifience on the incremental cost is not significant, a reasonable approximation for the projected hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability under 1(b) may be to calculate the liability at time 0, using the data and assumptions expected at time t.

#### **Other Approaches**

Other methods may be appropriate if they produce an incremental cost that reasonably reflects the expected change in the value of accrued benefits under the plan over the intercalculation date period.

