

nadian Institut titute canadien ctuaries des actuaires

## **Educational Note**

Calculation of Incremental Cost on a Hypothetical Wind Up or Solvency Basis

Document 210095

This document replaced document 210022

This document was replaced by document 223065

This document was archived June 12, 2023





### **Educational Note**



December 2010

Document 210095

Ce document est disponible en français © 2010 Canadian Institute of Actuaries

Members should be familiar with educational notes. Educational notes describe but do not recommend practice in illustrative situations. They do not constitute Standards of Practice and are, therefore, not binding. They are, however, intended to illustrate the application (but not necessarily the only application) of the Standards of Practice, so there should be no conflict between them. They are intended to assist actuaries in applying Standards of Practice in respect of specific matters. Responsibility for the manner of application of Standards of Practice in specific circumstances remains that of the members in the pension practice area.



### Memorandum

Subject:	Educational Note – Calculation of Incrementar Cox on a Hypothetical Wind-Up or Solvency Basis
Date:	December 21, 2010
	Gavin Benjamin, Chair Committee on Pension Plan Financial Reporting
From:	Tyrone G. Faulds, Chair Practice Council
To:	All Fellows, Affiliates, Associates and Correspondents of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries

The Actuarial Standards Board published the final <u>Standards of Plactice for Revised</u> <u>Practice-Specific Standards for Pension Plans</u> (Part 30-0) of June 14, 2010. The revised Standards of Practice, which come into effect on December 31, 2010, require, with limited exceptions, an external user report on the funded status or funding of a pension plan to report the incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis. This educational note is intended to assist actuaries in the calculation of a pension plan's incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up cosolvency basis.

An <u>original draft educational note</u> on the ame subject was issued on April 9, 2009 and a <u>revised draft educational note</u> was issued on April 12, 2010. Several changes have been incorporated in the final educational note considering comments received.

In accordance with the Inducte Policy on Due Process for the Approval of Guidance Material Other than Standard of Practice, this educational note has been prepared by the Committee on Pension Dan Financial Reporting (PPFRC) and has received final approval for distribution by the Practice Council on December 20, 2010.

As outlined in sub-ection 1220 of the Standards of Practice, "*The actuary should be familiar with relevant Educational Notes and other designated educational material.*" That subsection explains further that a "practice which the Educational Notes describe for a situation is not necessarily the only accepted practice for that situation and is not necessarily <u>accepted actuarial practice</u> for a different situation." As well, "Educational Notes are intended to illustrate the application (but not necessarily the only application) of the Standards, so there should be no conflict between them."

Questions regarding this educational note should be addressed to Gavin Benjamin at his CIA Online Directory address, <u>gavin.benjamin@towerswatson.com</u>.

TGF, GB

# CALCULATION OF INCREMENTAL COST ON A HYPOTHETICAL WIND-UP OR SOLVENCY BASIS

A requirement has been added to the Standards of Practice, effective December 31, 2010, that, with limited exceptions, an external user report on the funded status or funding of a pension plan, which includes a hypothetical wind-up or solvency valuation, will include an incremental cost calculated on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis. This educational note provides guidance for actuaries on the calculation of the incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis.

The Practice-Specific Standards for Pension Plans (as effective December 31, 2010) include the following reference to incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis,

3260.06

If an <u>external user report</u> includes one or more hypothetical wind-up valuations or solvency valuations then, for any one such hypothetical wind-up valuation or solvency valuation, the <u>external user report</u> should, unless the pension plan is a "designated plac" which has, as members, only persons "connected" with the employed as bose terms are defined in the Income Tax Regulations (Canada),

report the incremental cost between the <u>calculation date</u> and the next <u>calculation date</u>.

#### **Incremental Cost**

The incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis represents the present value, at the calculation date time to of the expected aggregate change in the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability between time 0 and the next calculation date (time t), adjusted upwards for exjected benefit payments between time 0 and time t.

#### Calculation Methodol gy

One methodology of calculating the incremental cost on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis, dearnined actime 0 and covering the period to time t, is

the present value at time 0 of expected benefit payments between time 0 and time t, discounted to time 0,

plus

a projected hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability at time t, discounted to time 0, allowing for, if applicable to the pension plan being valued,

expected decrements and related changes in membership status between time 0 and time t,

accrual of service to time t,

expected changes in benefits to time t (e.g., increases in a flat dollar pension formula, or increases in the maximum pension limits of the Income Tax Act), and

a projection of pensionable earnings to time t,

#### less

the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability at time 0.

#### Assumptions

The assumptions for the expected benefit payments in the first element and decrement probabilities, service accruals, and projected changes in benefits and/or pensionable earnings in the second element would be consistent with the assumptions used in the pension plan's going concern valuation between time 0 and time t, if such a valuation were to be conducted as of time 0. Alternatively, if the actuary considers such experience to be different from the longer term expected experience assumed for a going concern valuation, he/she may reflect expected experience between time 0 and time t.

The assumptions used to calculate the projected liability at time t in the second element would generally be consistent with the assumptions for the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability at time 0, assuming that interest rates remain at the levels applicable at time 0, that the select period is reset at time t for interest rate assumptions that are select and ultimate (e.g., at time t the select period would be reset to 10 years for interest rates established in accordance with the Standards of Practice for the calculation of commuted values), and that the Standards of Practice for the calculation of commuted values and the guidance for estimated annuity purchase costs in effect a time foremain in effect at time t.

The interest rate to be used to discount from the th e 0 for both the first and second elements would be the interest rate used to letermine the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability at time 0. However, if this ate a real interest rate (net of inflation), he would be appropriate. Where there is more use of a corresponding nominal interest than one interest rate used for th nypothe cal wind-up or solvency liability of a member at time 0 (e.g., because there are probabilities assigned to the method of settlement), the projected liability would b ese same components and discounted to time 0 into t spl using the interest rate in<sup>1</sup> eren in each component.

#### Additional Consideration

Active and inactive proceedings members as of time 0 and assumed new entrants over the period between time 0 and time t would generally be considered in calculating the incremental cost. For active members, projected hypothetical wind-up or solvency benefits at time t would reflect the value of a deferred or immediate pension to which a member is expected to be entitled based on the assumed probabilities of termination or retirement between time 0 and time t.

In certain circumstances, a non-zero incremental cost could be generated for inactive plan members. For example, an expected change between time 0 and time t in the benefits provided to inactive members that is not reflected in the liability at time 0 (e.g., a scheduled increase in the monthly pensions of retired members) would generally result in a non-zero incremental cost for the inactive plan members.

The projected hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability at time t would be calculated using the same postulated scenario of the circumstances of the hypothetical wind-up or plan termination as is used for the hypothetical wind-up or solvency valuation at time 0.

The incremental cost would include the effect of a pending amendment to the pension plan, consistent with paragraph 3210.19 of the Standards of Practice.

The incremental cost would allow for the expected changes in benefits due to factors such as members becoming eligible for early retirement "grow-in" benefits, or members becoming eligible for unreduced or subsidized early retirement benefits, where such factors would result in a significant increase in the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability between time 0 and time t.

Where the interest rate(s) that would be used to value the projected hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability for a particular member at time t would be different from the interest rate(s) used at time 0 (e.g., because the probability of method of settlement is expected to be different at time t than it was at time 0, or because smoothed interest rates are being used), the actuary would account for the change in interest rates. In relation to the smoothing of interest rates, it would be appropriate to assume that the unsmoothed interest rates at time t remain at the same levels applicable at time 0.

The incremental cost would not be affected by the expected eturn on the pension plan's assets.

#### **Incremental Cost Versus Service Cost**

The incremental cost calculated on a hypothetical window or solvency basis and the service cost calculated for a going concern valuation usually represent fundamentally different measures, depending on the methods being used. Typical differences between the incremental cost and service cost include the following.

The service cost generally represents interexpected change in liability between time 0 and time t due to service renered during the period. The incremental cost, however, represents the expected change in liability due to all factors, other than expected benefit payments. For example, the incremental cost would generally include the present since actime 0 of the total liability at time t associated with expected changer in benefits between time 0 and time t. The service cost would generally only include the portion of the liability associated with expected changes in benefits that is in respect of service rendered between time 0 and time t.

The interest race used to calculate the service cost may reflect the expected return on the pension plan's assets, while the incremental cost calculation is independent of the expected return on plan assets.

Because of these differences, in many circumstances the incremental cost may not be an appropriate measure of the contributions that would be required to fund a plan on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis between time 0 and time t.

Depending on the circumstances of the work, the terms of an appropriate engagement may specify that the actuary disclose a cost that is appropriate to fund a plan on a hypothetical wind-up or solvency basis. In such circumstances and subject to applicable law, the actuary would consider adjustments to the incremental cost including, but not limited to

excluding the cost of expected changes in benefits between time 0 and time t to the extent that the funding for the cost of these benefit changes is to be deferred and amortized over time, and

off-setting the incremental cost to adjust for the difference between

the expected return on plan assets between time 0 and time t based on the going concern interest rate, and

the return on plan assets over that period implied by the hypothetical wind-up or solvency valuation interest rate(s).

#### Approximations

Considering materiality and subsection 1510 of the Standards of Practice, approximations may be used, among others, in respect of

if the method of settlement is expected to be different at time t than it was at time 0, the projected hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability for a member could be valued based on the settlement method at time 0, with discounting of the liability using the corresponding interest rate(s),

if the solvency basis includes smoothing of interest rates, the projected solvency liability could be valued using the same smoothed interest rates applicable at time 0,

decrements and/or assumed new entrants between time and time t could be ignored, and

the projected hypothetical wind-up or solvency hability of time t, discounted to time 0, could be calculated at time 0, but using the data expected at time t.

#### **Other Approaches**

Other methods may be appropriate if they produce an incremental cost that reasonably reflects the present value of the expected aggregate change in the hypothetical wind-up or solvency liability between time 0 and three t, adjusted for expected benefit payments between time 0 and time t.

